

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SK

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/115,764 07/15/98 REASONER M 65.778-470

PM82/0320

EXAMINER

HAROLD W MILTON JR
HOWARD & HOWARD
1400 NORTH WOODWARD AVENUE
SUITE 101
BLOOMFIELD HILLS MI 48304-2856

LUONG,V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3682

16

DATE MAILED:

03/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/115,764	Applicant(s)	REASONER
	Examiner Vinh Luong	Group Art Unit 3682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vinh Luong

(3) _____

(2) John Carlson

(4) _____

Date of Interview 3/22/00

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Commercial activity statement and supporting documents filed on July 13, 1999.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant inquired as to why the examiner did not initial applicant's commercial activity statement and supporting document cited in Form PTO-1449 on July 13, 1999. The examiner explained that applicant did not specify, e.g., the date(s) the statement was made, the author of the statement, the relevant pages, etc., thus, the examiner intended to inquire the Office of Policy of the PTO regarding the propriety of the statement in Form PTO-1449 before the examiner initials it. Applicant agreed to fax a new Form PTO-1449 with the dated statement.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



VINH LUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3682

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Sheet 1 of 1